

MAY 19 2015

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case Number:	14-cr-00306-WHA-1				
Case Name:	USA v. Luke D. Brugnara				
	NOTE FROM THE JURY				
Note numb	per:				
Da	ate: 5/13/15				
Tir	me: 8:25				
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict Or					
2. The Jur	y has the following question:				
·	ronic exhibits are not on the computer.				

MAY 13,2015

Case Name: USA v. Luke D. Brugnara

Case Number: 14-cr-00306-WHA-1

	NOTE FROM THE JURY
	Note number: \
	Date: 5/13/15
	Time: 8:25
	1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict
	Or
	2. The Jury has the following question:
7-	. Electronic exhibits are not on the computer.
/	To Do sura:
	The electronic complter-readable exhibits are
	$0 \cdot 1 \cdot $
	on CDs and can only be viewed manually Transcepts on CDs and can only be viewed manually Transcepts
	on 03
	on CDs and can only be viewed that are available gwitnesses are not exhibits and but are available to you Now only via your memory or if you regulated to you now only via your memory or if you regulated
	Via readbacks in open count
٠	MAY 13, 2015 Quanton Stelling
	WHAIS Y Presiding Juror or Foreperson
	5-13.15

Case Number: 14-cr	-00306-WHA-1
Case Name:	USA v. Luke D. Brugnara
	NOTE FROM THE JURY
Note number:	2
Date: _	MAY 14, 2015
Time:	10:40 AM
IN RELA PARAGRA WHETHER COURSE FOUR EL NOSEO TO DEPRAUD COUNT #	the following question: TION TO JURY INSTRUCTION ON PAGE 10, PH 30, LINES 22-23: "IT DOES NOT MATTER THE ALLEGED SCHEME COVERED AN ENTIRE OF DEALING OR ONLY PART OF IT SO LONG AS ALL EMENTS ARE PROVEN."; DOES THE EVIDENCE O PROVE THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD A PLAN TO AT THE EXACT MOMENT THAT THE EMAIL IN I (EXHIBIT ST) WAS WELTTEN, OR CAN SUBSELUEME
INTENT?	HELP INFORM OUR UNDERSTANDING OF HIS

Case Number: 14-c	I-MU300-WNA-1
Case Name:	USA v. Luke D. Brugnara
	NOTE FROM THE JURY
Note number:	3
Date:	MAY 14, 2015
Time:	11,355 AM
1. The Jury has	s reached a unanimous verdict
DO PARE 1	s the following question: 13, PALACRAPH 38, ELEMANT FOURTH,
DOES 7	THE INSTRUCTION APPLY TO THE CURRENT
MATTE	R THE JURY 15 DE CONSIDERING OR
THE	JUNE 17, 2014 HEARING, IF IT IS
THE	JUNE 17, 2014 HEARING, WHAT WAS
THE	CUNICATION

Case Number: 14-cr-00306-WHA-1

	Case Name:	USA v. Luke D. Brugnara
		NOTE FROM THE JURY
	Note number:	4
	Date:	10AY 15,2015
	Time:	11:35 AM
O	·	reached a unanimous verdict
A ;	RELATED LINES 9 A WHAT DO OR WAS (1) AL (2) AF OF	THE OUTCOME OR DECISION IN THE JUNE 2014 HEARING, OF THE OUTCOME OR DECISION IN THE JUNE 2014 HEARING, THE OUTCOME OF THE COURT 'S FER EXAMPLE, THE OUTCOME OF THE JUNE 2014 HEARING; THE OUTCOME OR DECISION OF THE JUNE 2014 HEARING; THE OUTCOME OR DECISION IN THE JUNE 2014 HEARING, OUTCOME OR DECISION OF THE JUNE 2014 HEARING, OUTCOME OR DECISION OUTCOME OR DECISION OF THE JUNE 2014 HEARING, OUTCOME OUTC
B	RELATED ON LINES HY POTHET TO BE TR	TO JURY INSTRUCTION PARAGRAMATORY TO JURY INSTRUCTION PARAGRAMATORY INDOSTAGE FIRST THREE ELEMENTS LOS, FOR THE FOURTH T, DOES THE PURPOSE

NOT23 \$ 5 m	AN 15, 2015 Aud M. Hellana FUREPERSON
David,	FUREPERSON
Please soud a note.	to Judge Alsop stating
teat 2	
Ajwor has pointed	out tust another
jevor has repeatedle	p brouglet up his
experience as a p	n'soner.
The 2nd jurar also	claims he was
tostured during his	s imprisonment.
The claims offer of	come up during
the 2 nd juvor's in	passioned arguements
for aguittel.	
The 2 nel juror did	not raise his based
in court when the	e prospective jurors
were asked if the	y had every been
arrested.	
The 1st juvor brow	eget this up mate
jury room, which	led to the 2 del
jurar running from	the room in an
agitated state.	
Please inform the	
	Thanks, Paul

Case Number:	14-cr-00306-WHA-1	
Case Name:	USA v. Luke D. Brugnara	
·	NOTE FROM THE JURY	
Note num	ber:6	_
D	ate: MAY 19, 2015	
Ti	me: 10:35 AM	_
1. The Jur	ry has reached a unanimous verdict	
2. The Jur	v has the following question:	